

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/992,874	11/06/2001	Cole M. John	KOL-10-5565	7654		
27422	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
DRIGGS, LUCAS, BRUBAKER & HOGG CO. L.P.A			NGUYEN, DINH Q			
DEPT. KOL 8522 EAST A	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MENTOR, C	OH 44060		3752			
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Communication	09/992,874		JOHN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
7, 114, 110, 0.475	Dinh Q Nguyen		3752	Idea oo			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2003.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-	final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)	_	7					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) _ 5) [6) [Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (P				

Art Unit: 3752

. 1

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imai et al.

Imai discloses 4 nozzle assemblies 26 for descaling a continuously strip of metal S, they are divided into pairs of nozzle assembly, each pair covering half surface of the strip metal S with the centerline at L1 as shown in figure 4, each of the nozzle assembly is designed to swing around an axis to adjust the spray width of the nozzle assembly with the width of the metal strip and further to provide a position in which the maintenance and inspection can be done on the nozzle assembly without shutting down the production line as disclosed in column 2, lines 1-37 and column 8, lines 27-37 and also shown in dotted lines in figure 4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to configure the nozzle assemblies of Imai in such away that could provide the production line with operational continuously by maintain one nozzle assembly in each pair of nozzle assembly in an operation position and the other nozzle assembly in an maintenance position. Doing so would provide an effective production line without downtime on the equipments.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,874

Art Unit: 3752

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-27 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 4,132,393 to Nakamura et al. is cited to disclose a spray nozzle in a repair position on a metal strip production line.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00 alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,874

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

Art Unit 3752

Page 4

Dinh Q Nguyen Patent Examiner

dqn

0861.